

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/008,492	FRANK, MICHAEL L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew C. Sams	2643	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew C. Sams.

(2) Pamela Lau Kee.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 November 2005

Time: 4:00 PM est

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Changes approved to the wording of claim 1 including adding (IMN) to represent an "input matching network" and changing a "signal conditioning circuit" to correlate with the drawings and specification as a "signal processing section".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)